

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,185	02/27/2004	Mitch Rooker	03943.0118-00000	4576	
759	90 01/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH		
Garrett & Dunne					
1300 I Street, N	.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005-3315			3753		
			D. TE MAN ED 01/01/000	DATEMAN ED. 01/01/0005	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Summary		10/787,185	ROOKER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	•	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		,					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1 - 55 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 - 55 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objection of the drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

This office action is responsive to communications filed 06/22/04.

## Claims 1 – 55 are pending.

- 1. The formal drawings were received on 06/22/04. These drawings are approved.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 5, 7 9, 14 20, 22 24, 27 35, 37, 39, 42 48 and 51 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen (US 4,580,691).

Hansen discloses (Figs. 1 – 10) a pressure relief device, comprising: a sealing member (40) having a domed shape including a concave and a convex side; a non-symmetrical low-pressure support member (50) configured to provide support along the concave side of the sealing member when the sealing member is subject to a certain pressure differential, the low pressure support member including an annular flange (51) and at least one supporting projection or tongue (55), a cutting element (75 – 77) having multiple blades adapted to puncture the sealing member when the sealing member is subject to a predetermined first pressure differential; a high-pressure support member (25) configured to selectively provide support to the sealing member; wherein the high-pressure support member are subject to a predetermined second pressure differential; and inlet (2) and outlet (3) safety head members positioned to sealingly engage the low pressure support member and the high pressure support member therebetween.

It is noted that the low-pressure support member (50) could be configured as either symmetric or asymmetric depending upon the reference diameter of the passage (11) spanned by the support member (50). Also Hansen discloses that it is known to use alternate geometrical arrangements of the arches (54) (Col. 8, lines 46 – 51). A transition section is considered to be inherent to the low-pressure support member (50) since it has both convex and concave surfaces. An area of weakness (62) is formed within the arch (54).

The high-pressure support member (25) includes a main body (27) having a number of slits (30) that divide the main body into a multiple petal sections.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 6, 10, 21, 25, 36, 38 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen as applied to claims 1 - 5, 7 - 9, 14 - 20, 22 - 24, 27 - 35, 37, 39, 42 - 48 and 51 - 55 above, and further in view of Thompson et al. (US 4,342,988).

The patent to Hansen discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing at least one arch to lie entirely one side of the centerline. As noted above, Hansen does disclose that it is known to use alternate geometrical arrangements of the arches (54) (Col. 8, lines 46 - 51).

Thompson et al. discloses a pressure relief device comprising a low-pressure support member (14) having at least one arch (32) that lies entirely within one side of the centerline (see Fig. 3) for the purpose of obtaining a desired flow area upon rupture of the disc.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an arch in the low-pressure support that lies entirely within one side of the centerline for the purpose of obtaining a desired flow area upon rupture of the disc, as recognized by Thompson et al.

It is noted that Thompson et al. discloses the low-pressure support member (14) having a number of areas of weakness disposed (see Fig. 4, for example) on the periphery of the arch for the purpose of providing a desired failure point for the low-pressure support member.

7. Claims 11 - 13, 26, 40, 41 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen as applied to claims 1 - 5, 7 - 9, 14 - 20, 22 - 24, 27 - 35,

37, 39, 42 – 48 and 51 – 55 above, and further in view of Brazier et al. (US 6,321,771 B1).

The patent to Hansen discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the area of weakness in the arch to be a cut with a connecting member connecting the arch across the cut.

Brazier et al. discloses a pressure relief device having a low-pressure support member (24) that has at least two arches (60, 61) having different configuration with at least one arch (60) having a cut with a connecting member (68) connecting the arch across the cut. Such a configuration provides a maximal flow path for quick reduction of the pressure within the system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in Hansen an arch in the support member that is a cut with a connecting member connecting the arch across the cut and also a configuration of having at least two arches with different configurations for the purpose of providing maximal flow path thereby achieving quick reduction of pressure, as recognized by Brazier et al.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene L. Mancene, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753